

Quantum systems in a cut Fock space

M. Trzetrzelewski and J. Wosiek

*M. Smoluchowski Institute of Physics
Jagellonian University
Reymonta 4 , 30 – 059 Krakow, Poland*

February 1, 2008

Abstract

Standard quantum mechanics is viewed as a limit of a cut system with artificially restricted dimension of a Hilbert space. Exact spectrum of cut momentum and coordinate operators is derived and the limiting transition to the infinite dimensional Hilbert space is studied in detail. The difference between systems with discrete and continuous energy spectra is emphasized. In particular a new scaling law, characteristic for nonlocalized, states is found. Some applications for supersymmetric quantum mechanics are briefly outlined.

PACS: 11.10.Kk, 04.60.Kz

Keywords: quantum mechanics, exact solution, cutoff Fock space, scaling

1 Introduction

Recently there has been a further progress in understanding a family of quantum mechanical systems emerging from the dimensional reduction of supersymmetric gauge theories first studied in [1, 2]. Resulting models, even though much simpler than the original field theories, can be rather complex and with nontrivial solution. In the programme proposed in [3], the hamiltonian is diagonalized in the limited Hilbert space providing the complete spectrum of the system with a cutoff. Then the cutoff is gradually removed and convergence towards the exact (i.e. the infinite Hilbert space) spectrum is observed. Interestingly, even for quite complex systems with 15 degrees of freedom the convergence occurs before the size of the basis grows out of control [4, 5, 6].

Above method, which is essentially numerical, raises a number of theoretical questions about exact solutions of quantum mechanics in a limited Hilbert space. Some of them will be addressed in this paper. In the next Section we define the cutoff as the maximal number of harmonic oscillator quanta, N . Then we solve analytically for the spectrum of the momentum and coordinate operators at arbitrary finite N . In Section 4 the asymptotic behaviour for large N is derived and the new scaling law required to recover the infinite Hilbert space limit is formulated. The universality of the above scaling and similarity of the whole procedure to the familiar continuum limit in lattice field theories is discussed. It is also shown that present results prove the assertion of Ref.[3] that the continuous spectrum in quantum mechanics gives rise to the power-like dependence on the cutoff. On the other hand, it was found numerically, that the eigenenergies of the discrete, localized states have much faster convergence. This correlation between the nature of the spectrum and the cutoff dependence is very useful in studying supersymmetric systems where the distinction between continuous and discrete spectra is an important issue [7, 8].

A general idea of increasing the number of states in a trial wave function is of course not new and is closely connected with the classical variational calculations¹. However we are not aware of any systematic studies of the cutoff dependence based on the exact solutions of cut systems, especially in the context of contrasting the discrete and continuous spectra.

¹For some applications for gauge systems see e.g. [9, 10, 11, 12].

2 A cut Fock space

Every quantum hamiltonian can be written in terms of the creation and annihilation operators of a simple, normalized harmonic oscillator

$$H(Q, P) = H(a, a^\dagger), \quad (1)$$

where

$$Q = (a + a^\dagger)/\sqrt{2}, \quad P = (a - a^\dagger)/i\sqrt{2}, \quad [a, a^\dagger] = 1. \quad (2)$$

And similarly for the fermionic degrees of freedom if required. It follows that a large class of polynomial hamiltonians has a simple representation in the eigenbasis $\{|n\rangle\}$ of the occupation number operator $a^\dagger a$.

$$|n\rangle = \frac{a^{\dagger n}}{\sqrt{n!}}|0\rangle. \quad (3)$$

Apart from the theoretical simplicity, this basis has an important practical advantage. It is labeled by a discrete index n and consequently is well suited for numerical applications.

Therefore a straightforward method for numerical solution of any quantum problem consists of: a) limiting the basis, Eq. (3), to e.g. $n \leq N$; b) calculating the finite matrix representation of the hamiltonian (1) in such a cut basis; and c) numerically diagonalizing above finite matrix to obtain complete spectrum and the eigenstates of the system. Moreover, changing the cutoff N provides the model independent way to estimate the systematic errors introduced by limiting the number of allowed quanta.

It is evident that theoretical understanding of the cutoff dependence for various quantum mechanical systems would further extend applicability of this approach. In particular, the knowledge of the asymptotic behaviour of the eigenvalues of a cut hamiltonian for large N could be used to perform quantitative extrapolation to the infinite cutoff limit. We begin studying these questions with the simplest building blocks of any hamiltonian, i.e. the P and Q operators.

3 The spectrum of cut momentum and coordinate operators

Standard expressions for the matrix elements of the P and Q operators in the occupation number basis read.

$$\langle n | P | k \rangle = \frac{1}{i} \sqrt{\frac{k}{2}} \delta_{n,k-1} - \frac{1}{i} \sqrt{\frac{k+1}{2}} \delta_{n,k+1}, \quad (4)$$

$$\langle n | Q | k \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{k}{2}} \delta_{n,k-1} + \sqrt{\frac{k+1}{2}} \delta_{n,k+1}. \quad (5)$$

In the Hilbert space, limited to maximum N quanta, P and Q become $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ matrices. Hence, the eigenvalues, λ , of e.g. momentum, are given by the zeros of the following determinant

$$I_{N+1} = \begin{vmatrix} -\eta & \sqrt{1} & 0 & . & . & . \\ -\sqrt{1} & -\eta & \sqrt{2} & . & . & . \\ 0 & -\sqrt{2} & -\eta & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & . & -\eta & \sqrt{N} \\ . & . & . & . & -\sqrt{N} & -\eta \end{vmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

where $\eta = i\sqrt{2}\lambda$. Laplace expansion gives, for the simpler variable $J_n = I_n/n!$, the following recursion relation

$$(n+2)J_{n+2} + \eta J_{n+1} - J_n = 0, \quad J_1 = -\eta, \quad J_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\eta^2 + 1), \quad (7)$$

which is closely related to the well known recursion for the Hermite polynomials. We find

$$I_n(\lambda) = 2^{-\frac{n}{2}} i^n H_n(-\lambda). \quad (8)$$

It follows that the spectrum of the momentum in the cut Hilbert space with maximum N quanta is given by the zeroes of the Hermite polynomials $H_{N+1}(z)$

$$p_m^N = z_m^{(N+1)}, \quad \text{where} \quad H_{N+1}(z_m^{(N+1)}) = 0, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, N+1. \quad (9)$$

This constitutes the main result of present paper.

Calculations for the coordinate operator Q are very similar. Resulting eigenvalues, q_m^N , are identical

$$q_m^N = z_m^{(N+1)}, \quad (10)$$

which could have been expected from the duality between P and Q . It is important that this duality is not violated by our cutoff. Of course the eigenvectors of P and Q are different. Since the eigenvalues are symmetric with respect to the origin, we will use only positive ones. Hence, we introduce a slightly different enumeration

$$p_0^N < p_1^N < p_2^N < \dots < p_m^N < \dots \leq p_{N/2}^N, \quad N - \text{even}, \quad (11)$$

$$p_1^N < p_2^N < \dots < p_m^N < \dots \leq p_{(N+1)/2}^N, \quad N - \text{odd}. \quad (12)$$

which will be used in the next Section.

4 Continuum limit - scaling

Restricting the infinite Hilbert space by artificially cutting off the basis of the Fock states has some resemblance to the familiar discretization in a lattice field theory. In both situations a cutoff makes a problem numerically tractable and in the end it has to be removed to reach a physical description of the continuous world. In lattice calculations this is much more delicate due to the infinite number of degrees of freedom, and must be accompanied with a nontrivial adjustment (scaling) of bare parameters. Interestingly, similar phenomenon is observed when we increase the size of the Hilbert space in our cut quantum mechanics in order to recover standard quantum system. To see this, consider the large N limit of the spectrum of the momentum operator derived in the previous Section. From the known asymptotics of the roots of Hermite polynomials [13] we obtain (see Appendix)

$$p_m^N = \frac{\pi m}{\sqrt{2N+3}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\pi^2 m^2 - 3/2}{3(2N+3)^2}} + O(N^{-4.5}), \quad (13)$$

$$p_m^N = \frac{\pi(m - \frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{2N+3}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\pi^2(m - \frac{1}{2})^2 - 3/2}{3(2N+3)^2}} + O(N^{-4.5}), \quad (14)$$

with m and N as in (11) and (12) respectively. Therefore an infinite N limit at fixed m is trivial and does not reproduce the spectrum of the P operator in

the infinite Hilbert space. On the other hand varying the "principal quantum number" m with the cutoff N according to the following prescription

$$m = \frac{\sqrt{2N}}{\pi} p, \quad (15)$$

defines the scaling limit of our quantum system

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} p_{m(N,p)}^N = p, \quad (16)$$

which reproduces the known spectrum of the momentum for any real eigenvalue p . Because of the above analogy with the lattice approach, the adjustment (15) will be called scaling and we will refer to the scaling limit, Eq.(16), as the continuum limit.

A number of comments is in order here. First, for finite cutoff N , the $N+1$ eigenvalues, Eq.(14), span the interval of the length $O(\sqrt{N})$ and are separated by the distance $O(1/\sqrt{N})$. When N tends to infinity they spread out covering the whole real axis and, at the same time, become more dense approximating any real number p with arbitrary precision. In another words, limiting the number of quanta can be thought of as regularizing the system both in the infrared and in the ultraviolet. This means that the single dimensionless parameter N controls both the continuum limit and the infinite volume limit at the same time.

Second, the scaling, Eq.(15), is universal, i.e. it applies to the spectrum of any operator which commutes with P . For example, it was found [14] that the spectrum of a cut free particle hamiltonian, $H = P^2/2$, behaves at large N as

$$\begin{aligned} E_m^N &= \frac{\pi^2}{2} \frac{(m - 1/2)^2}{2N + 5}, \\ E_m^N &= \frac{\pi^2}{2} \frac{m^2}{2N + 5}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

for N even and odd respectively. This implies, together with (15), the standard spectral relation $E(p) = p^2/2$ in the continuum limit. Note that the scaling deduced only from the momentum variable was sufficient to derive the continuum limit of the energy ².

²Of course we also needed the solution of the free particle problem in a cut Fock space.

Third, this universality should also extend to any scattering problem in quantum mechanics, that is to less trivial hamiltonians with continuous spectra, provided the momenta can be defined asymptotically. This observation is particularly useful for studying nonlocalized solutions of supersymmetric systems.

Finally we stress the difference in the cutoff dependence between the eigenenergies of the localized and nonlocalized states. Results (14) and (17) prove that for the continuous spectrum the eigenenergies fall as a power of the cutoff. On the other hand there is a strong numerical evidence that in the case of the discrete, localized eigenstates the convergence of the eigenenergies is much faster, probably exponential or even stronger. The difference between the two is so dramatic that it was successfully used to distinguish between both classes of solutions from the finite N data alone [3, 4, 5, 6]. The scaling (15) applies only to the continuous spectrum. Continuum limit of the eigenenergies from the discrete spectrum is achieved simply by taking large N at fixed m .

To conclude this Section we derive the form of the large N corrections to the continuous spectrum. Let us use a slightly more general form of (15)

$$m = \frac{\sqrt{2N}}{\pi} p + b, \quad (18)$$

with arbitrary real b . Inserting Eq.(18) into (13) and regrouping terms according to their N dependence gives

$$p_m^N = p + \pi(b - \frac{1}{2}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N}} + \frac{1}{6} p(p^2 - 9) \frac{1}{2N} \quad (19)$$

$$+ \frac{\pi}{2} (b - \frac{1}{2}) (\frac{2}{3} p^2 - 3) \frac{1}{(2N)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \dots \quad (20)$$

The parameter b can be used to speed up the convergence. Obviously $b = 1/2$ is optimal in this case, however this choice is not universal in general. In fact we readily see this even in our example. For even number of quanta, Eq.(13), the best choice is $b = 0$. The same remark applies if one improves the convergence by replacing $\sqrt{2N}$ by, e.g. $\sqrt{2N+3}$ in (15). Of course the limiting value of the momentum is independent of b in agreement with the universality.

5 Summary and outlook

Quantum mechanics in a cut Hilbert space is an interesting theoretical framework admitting a number of exact solutions which, to our knowledge, have never been obtained before. Apart from its theoretical and pedagogical significance, analytical understanding of the cutoff dependence of the solutions provides the useful practical tool to study more complex systems.

Scaling found in the spectrum of the cut momentum operator seems to be a general property of nonlocalized states. It was confirmed in the simple case of a free particle in one dimension and we are currently extending this to higher dimensions. Many other applications, with various degree of complexity, are possible. One of the most interesting is the study of the scattering states in the family of supersymmetric Yang-Mills quantum mechanical systems with the flat valleys potentials (SYMQM). Reduced from the two dimensional space-time (D=2) theory, SYMQM is essentially the quantum mechanics of a free particle in three (color) dimensions with a constraint [6]. Thus it can be readily analyzed with the present method. The D=4 system is already nontrivial, revealing both the discrete and continuum spectrum [3]. Since one can assign a momentum along the valley to the asymptotic scattering states, we expect that above scaling should also work in this case. Recent numerical progress in solving this system in a cut Hilbert space makes this programme rather feasible now. Finally, in the most complex D=10 SYMQM, with its threshold bound state and the continuum of the scattering states, one can also assign an asymptotic momentum to these states [15, 16]. Hence the momentum scaling found here should be used in extracting the continuum limit of this model from its cut formulation.

Acknowledgments JW thanks the Theory Group of Pisa University for their hospitality. This work is supported by the Polish Committee for Scientific Research under the grant no. PB 2P03B09622, during 2002 -2004.

6 Appendix

Here we will derive the asymptotic form of the zeroes of the Hermite polynomials H_n for large order n . When n is even they can be obtained from the following relation [13]

$$H_n(z) = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^n \frac{1}{2} n! L_{\frac{n}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(z^2), \quad (21)$$

where $L_n^\alpha(z^2)$ are the generalized Laguerre polynomials with parameter α . Then, roots of the Laguerre polynomials are approximated by the first $n/2$ roots of the Bessel functions. Let z_m^n , $t_{m,\alpha}^{\frac{n}{2}}$ and $j_{m,\alpha}$ denote the m -th positive root of the $H_n(z)$, $L_{\frac{n}{2}}^\alpha(z)$ and the Bessel function $J_\alpha(z)$ respectively. One has [13]

$$t_{m,\alpha}^{\frac{n}{2}} = \frac{j_{m,\alpha}^2}{4k_{\frac{n}{2},\alpha}^2} \left(1 + \frac{2(\alpha^2 - 1) + j_{m,\alpha}^2}{48k_{\frac{n}{2},\alpha}^2} \right) + O(n^{-5}), \quad (22)$$

where

$$k_{\frac{n}{2},\alpha} = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{\alpha + 1}{2}. \quad (23)$$

For $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}$, $J_{-\frac{1}{2}}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \cos(z)$, hence $j_{\alpha,m} = \pi(m - \frac{1}{2})$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n}{2}$ and $k_{\frac{n}{2},\alpha} = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$. Therefore

$$(z_m^n)^2 = t_{m,\alpha}^{\frac{n}{2}} = \frac{\pi^2(m - \frac{1}{2})^2}{2n + 1} \left(1 + \frac{\pi^2(m - \frac{1}{2})^2 - \frac{3}{2}}{3(2n + 1)^2} \right) + O(n^{-5}), \quad (24)$$

and the positive roots are

$$z_m^n = \frac{\pi(m - \frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{2n + 1}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\pi^2(m - \frac{1}{2})^2 - \frac{3}{2}}{3(2n + 1)^2}} + O(n^{-4.5}). \quad (25)$$

For an odd order, n , analogous relations read

$$H_n(z) = (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} 2^n \left(\frac{n-1}{2} \right)! z L_{\frac{n-1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(z^2), \quad (26)$$

$$t_{m,\alpha}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} = \frac{j_{m,\alpha}^2}{4k_{\frac{n-1}{2},\alpha}^2} \left(1 + \frac{2(\alpha^2 - 1) + j_{m,\alpha}^2}{48k_{\frac{n-1}{2},\alpha}^2} \right) + O(n^{-5}), \quad (27)$$

$$k_{\frac{n-1}{2},\alpha} = \frac{n-1}{2} + \frac{\alpha + 1}{2}, \quad (z_{m,\alpha}^n)^2 = t_{m,\alpha}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}. \quad (28)$$

In this case $J_\alpha(z) = J_{\frac{1}{2}}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \sin(z)$, therefore $j_{\alpha,m} = \pi m$, $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}$, and $k_{\frac{n-1}{2},\alpha} = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$. Then, similar steps as above give

$$z_m^n = \frac{\pi m}{\sqrt{2n + 1}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\pi^2 m^2 - \frac{3}{2}}{3(2n + 1)^2}} + O(n^{-4.5}). \quad (29)$$

References

- [1] M. Claudson and M. B. Halpern, Nucl. Phys. **B250** (1985) 689.
- [2] M. B. Halpern and C. Schwartz, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A13** (1998) 4367, hep-th/9712133.
- [3] J. Wosiek, Nucl. Phys. **B644** (2002) 85, hep-th/0203116.
- [4] J. Wosiek, Supersymmetric Yang-Mills quantum mechanics, in: Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Research Workshop on Confinement, Topology and Other Non-Perturbative Aspects of QCD, eds. J. Greensite and S. Olejnik, Kluwer AP, Dordrecht, 2002, hep-th/0204243.
- [5] J. Kotanski and J. Wosiek, Nucl. Phys. **B**(Proc. Suppl.)**119** (2003) 932, hep-lat/0208067.
- [6] M. Campostrini and J. Wosiek, Phys. Lett. **B550**(2002) 121.
- [7] B. de Wit, M. Lüscher and H. Nicolai, Nucl. Phys. **B320** (1989) 135.
- [8] T. Banks, W. Fishler, S. Shenker and L. Susskind, Phys.Rev. **D55** (1997) 6189; hep-th/9610043.
- [9] M. Lüscher, Nucl. Phys. **B219** (1983) 233.
- [10] M. Lüscher and G. Münster, Nucl. Phys. **B232** (1984) 445.
- [11] P. van Baal, Acta Phys. Polon. **B20** (1989) 295.
- [12] P. van Baal, The Witten Index Beyond the Adiabatic Approximation, in: Michael Marinov Memorial Volume, Multiple facets of quantization and supersymmetry, eds. M. Olshanetsky and A. Vainshtein (World Scientific, Singapore, 2002), pp.556-584, hep-th/0112072.
- [13] *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, eds. M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, Dover Pub., New York, 1972.
- [14] M. Trzetrzelewski, in preparation.
- [15] K. Becker and M. Becker, Nucl. Phys. **B506** (1997) 48, hep-th/9705091.
- [16] J. Plefka and A. Waldron, Nucl. Phys. **B512** (1998) 460, hep-th/9710104.